JBF Journal of Business and Finance EM in Emerging Markets

Volume 4 (1), 2021, 9-18

MONETARY OUTLOOK: INTERNAL VALUE OF MONEY STABILITY COMPARISON IN USA

Budi Sasongko, STIE Jaya Negara Taman Siswa Malang, Indonesia Eny Lestari Widarni, STIE Jaya Negara Taman Siswa Malang, Indonesia Suryaning Bawono, STIE Jaya Negara Taman Siswa Malang, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This paper aims to study the transformation of money in the United States using qualitative content analysis and predict the stability of the internal exchange rate of money by comparing the internal exchange rate of commodity money proxied by gold against crude oil internally. The exchange rate of fiat money proxied by the USD against crude oil and the internal exchange rate of synthetic money proxied by bitcoin against crude oil use the autoregressive threshold (TAR) method in the exchange period. In the great depression, fiat standards, subprime mortgage crisis, Europe experienced a debt crisis until 2017 (1960 - 2017). We compare the internal stability of money for each period in the aggregate using TAR to describe the overall fluctuation of internal exchange rate stability. So it can be seen that the behavior of data movements based on the crisis period experienced is the basis for predicting the stability of the internal exchange rate in the future.

Keywords: monetary outlook, internal value of money, mixed-method

1. Introduction

The money that is known today is fiat money in certain currencies in the world. Inflation that occurs throughout the world causes the exchange rate of money against goods to change from year to year. Inflation keeps prices high and never returns to the starting point of the long-run price change.



Figure 1 Source: World Bank, compiled

The world inflation rate, which is getting more stable and better after 2009, shows an increase in commodity prices worldwide. Changes in prices continuously indicate a weakening of the exchange rate for goods and services. Inflation is a condition in which prices generally rise, and this happens theoretically because the money supply exceeds or is not balanced with production growth which results in a decline in the internal exchange rate of money. Alternatively, theoretically, population growth which is getting more and more, cannot be balanced by production growth so that demand is increasing, but supply growth is unable to keep up with demand growth due to population growth. It causes prices to apply when demand rises and production cannot increase to compensate for demand so that prices will rise. In aggregate or in general, when this condition occurs, inflation arises (Heise, 2019).

The quantity theory of money explains that the value of money occurs as a result of the demand for money for the needs of transactions with goods where when the amount of money in circulation is more than the demand or the growth in the money supply is higher than the growth in production, it will have an impact on increasing prices in general and expanding. is called inflation. The value of money is the value of demand and supply in money in circulation and the production of output, both goods and services exchanged in supply and demand, is in the opposite position (Mitchell et al., 2019).

2. Literature Review

Money is a legal payment medium. Money is a commonly used commodity to pay (Jain & Ohri, 2020; Hazlett & Luther, 2020). Based on the form, money is divided into commodity money or goods money, fiat money, and electronic money or digital money (Vandezande, 2017; Bonetto & Iacopetta, 2019). Commodity money has the value of use because

commodity money can be used or consumed. The value of exchange for other commodities is measured based on the benefits of the goods being exchanged and the scarcity or difficulty of obtaining the goods exchanged (the value of scarcity) so that the exchange rate for goods money is a function of use-value and scarcity value (Karimzadi, 2013; Smith & Shubik, 2011).

Based on its exchange rate, fiat money has an exchange rate for goods (internal value of money) and an external value of money (Caldentey, 2015; Daly, 2014). Based on public acceptance as money users and based on the history of money, fiat money is accepted because of the guaranteed value of both gold and silver and the existence of legal or legal regulations. Based on the elements attached to fiat or paper money, there is an intrinsic value in the form of costs required to make money and the nominal value stated on the money (Glasner, D.2017; Grewal, 2020). Digital money was first born in 2009 and is a new money revolution in this century (Pagliery, 2014). Digital money is different from money that represents itself and has a value of use and is different from fiat money, which represents something that guarantees both law and gold or silver (Lyócsa et al., 2020). Digital money can be mined by anyone through blockchains and owned by anyone, but it is rare or limited (Kristoufek, 2020; Ammous, 2018). Digital money has a scarcity value so that its exchange rate is purely influenced by the amount of demand and supply (Horra et al., 2019; Chu et al., 2019). Digital money cannot be consumed like fiat money but can be exchanged for goods or services (Zharova & Lloyd, 2018).

Based on the history of money, money transformed in shape and impacted the world's monetary system. Starting from 3000 BC in Mesopotamia using grain or wheat as money, China used (packed tea) pressed tea as money, and in Egypt, Rome used salt as money. At that time, the value of use becomes the dominant value in determining the exchange rate (Wang, 2020; Chaturvedi et al., 2018). However, money changed its shape to a more compact form and was easier to transfer starting from shell money in china (shell money) 1200 BC, then transformed into a more durable one starting with knife money (knife money) 1000 BC in china, coins Gold in Lydia (currently Turkey) 625 BC, Bar money in the Roman Empire 359 BC (Yang, 2019; Sumner, 2020). The transformation of money from 3000 BC to 359 BC encourages a shift in the underlying value of the exchange rate from the value of use to the value of scarcity. The money transformation at that time was still around the type of money in goods. However, since the Han dynasty 118 BC issued leather money representing the gold and silver owned by the Han dynasty to facilitate exchange and encourage Chinese trade, the value underlying the exchange rate has shifted from scarcity to representation (Pulikkottil, 2017). Han dynasty innovation pioneered the birth of paper money 700 years later, namely the Tang dynasty AD 618 known as Fei Qian 飞 钱 or biannual 便 換 and its influence was spread by the Mongol kingdom (yuan dynasty) in Mongol expansion known as 鐘 彤 Zhongtong Chao (Prasad, 2017). A.D. 54 Romani had the same innovation as the Han dynasty using wooden tablets that promised a certain amount of gold, known as Rome's promissory notes (Johnston, 2015). The concept of promissory notes, which is increasingly popular in Europe (western world), met 鐘 形 Zhongtong Chao (eastern world) in 1275 which developed into banknotes (banknotes issued) in 1661 in Sweden (Sweden) and spread throughout the world, including America (Ramge, 2018). From April 19, 1775, to September 3, 1783, the Continental Congress issued banknotes, supported by "anticipated" tax revenues, which became America's first banknotes (it was hoped that the gold and silver that supported them could be obtained from tax revenues) to finance the American Revolutionary War (Flick, 2019). The continental currency quickly depreciated because it was easy to counterfeit, and there was no pen

3. Research Method

This type of data is quantitative and qualitative, while the data source in this study is secondary data. The method used in this research is a mixed method of qualitative narrative content analysis, which analyzes historical content to obtain an understanding and general description of money transformation and exchange rate stability from 3000 BC to the present. Descriptive Quantitative Analysis the Nonlinear Time Series Forecasting Threshold Autoregressive (TAR) Model Statistical Analysis.

3.1 Research Model

Equation Model TAR 3 regime P Oil in USD : P Oil in USD_t⁽¹⁾ = $\beta_0^{(1)} + \beta_1^{(1)}$ P Oil in USD_{t-1} ++ $\beta_1^{(1)}$ P Oil in Gold_{t-1} + $e_t^{(1)}$ P Oil in USD_t⁽²⁾ = $\beta_0^{(2)} + \beta_1^{(2)}$ P Oil in USD_{t-1} ++ $\beta_1^{(2)}$ P Oil in Gold_{t-1} + $e_t^{(2)}$ P Oil in USD_t⁽³⁾ = $\beta_0^{(3)} + \beta_1^{(3)}$ P Oil in USD_{t-1} ++ $\beta_1^{(3)}$ P Oil in Gold_{t-1} + $e_t^{(3)}$ Non Threshold Variabel dalam persamaan P Gold in USD

Equation Model TAR 3 regime P Oil in Gold : P Oil in Gold_t⁽¹⁾ = $\beta_0^{(1)} + \beta_1^{(1)}$ P Oil in Gold_{t-1} ++ $\beta_1^{(1)}$ P Oil in USD_{t-1} + $e_t^{(1)}$ P Oil in Gold_t⁽²⁾ = $\beta_0^{(2)} + \beta_1^{(2)}$ P Oil in Gold_{t-1} ++ $\beta_1^{(2)}$ P Oil in USD_{t-1} + $e_t^{(2)}$ P Oil in Gold_t⁽³⁾ = $\beta_0^{(3)} + \beta_1^{(3)}$ P Oil in Gold_{t-1} ++ $\beta_1^{(3)}$ P Oil in USD_{t-1} + $e_t^{(3)}$ Non Threshold Variabel dalam persamaan P Gold in USD

Equation Model TAR 3 regime P Oil in Bitcoin : P Oil in Bitcoint⁽¹⁾ = $\beta_0^{(1)} + \beta_1^{(1)}$ P Oil in Bitcoint-1 ++ $\beta_1^{(1)}$ P Oil in USD t-1 + $e_t^{(1)}$ P Oil in Bitcoint⁽²⁾ = $\beta_0^{(2)} + \beta_1^{(2)}$ P Oil in Bitcoint-1 ++ $\beta_1^{(2)}$ P Oil in USD t-1 + $e_t^{(1)}$ P Oil in Bitcoint⁽³⁾ = $\beta_0^{(1)} + \beta_1^{(3)}$ P Oil in Bitcoint-1 ++ $\beta_1^{(3)}$ P Oil in USD t-1 + $e_t^{(1)}$ Non Threshold Variable in the P Bitcoin in USD equation

4. Data and Discussion

Currently (2019) is a digital era where the use of information technology is increasingly massive. Unstoppable technological developments are flooding the current young generation replacing the previous generation with various information, making the digital age learn faster. Technological developments change people's lifestyles and transactions. It has been going on thousands of years ago when mining technology developed; money was converted from agricultural products to metals starting in Lydia 625 BC. Likewise, when paper technology developed, money also changed the following technology from metal to paper, which reached its peak in 1971 during the Nixon Shock era. The development of technology was followed by the birth of the Electronic Transfer Fund in 1860, which became the forerunner of financial technology.

Technological developments have played a significant role in transforming money in the history of money from 3000 years ago to the present.

4.1. Content Analysis Result

Based on historical facts and previous research that we investigated and presented in the literature review section, there have been changes or transformations in the form of money in America since its birth on July 4, 1776, until now (2019), American money is transformed from commodity money in the way of gold coins to fiat and has the potential to transform into digital money. The value of money on which the American currency exchange rate (USD) is based has shifted from scarcity to expected discount value. A representative amount of gold (representative of gold value) is dominated by the weight of absence (value of lack) shifting to represent the country (representative of a nation). The new Bitcoin, which

appeared in 2009, has the potential to trigger the transformation of money from fiat to digital, as happened during the dynasty 118 BC, which started with leather money backed up with gold and silver, which encouraged the transformation of money from real money to banknotes and money. Two thousand years after that, money transformed into fiat money in 1971 in the era of the Nixon shock, which shifted the base value of the exchange rate from scarcity to representation value. The shift in the fundamental importance of the exchange rate of money from the amount of use (value of service) 3000 BC to the cost of scarcity is also 2000 years, which peaked in 625 BC with the emergence of the first coin in Lydia, which started from shell money (Shell Money).) 1200 BC and Knife Money (Knife Money) 1000 BC. Based on these historical facts, the emergence of bitcoin in 2009, which previously appeared in Electronic Transfer Fund (ETF) with the 1860 telegram technology, which grew increasingly massive with the invention of 1940 computer and 1960 ethernet technology, has the potential to trigger digital money in the 22nd century and maybe sooner than the next 2000 years. It is marked by the massive financial technology, ranging from e-commerce to peer to peer lending, which changes human habits of transacting and interacting, which increasingly drives the need for digital money, meaning that fiat (paper) money has the potential to transform into digital money.

From the B.C. to the present (2019), human expectations regarding money are the stability of its internal exchange rate, ease of use, and hands transfer. The transformation of money from good money to fiat money is motivated by transactions where a short and safe payment medium is needed. However, fiat money has weaknesses, namely the depreciation of the internal exchange rate and the external exchange rate's instability. Currently, fiat money cannot be transferred to other regions physically quickly, but since 1860 it has been anticipated that the existence of ETFs where transactions between regions are based on messages or secure information supported by information technology. The development of ETF and information technology increasingly massive changes the habits of humans who are getting closer to the internet, including the increasingly massive transaction habits using online media. However, current money transfers (2019) are only transfers of information without physical money transfers. Digital money offers a weakness that fiat money cannot be physically transferred outside the region or, in other words, ETFs are just hallucinations. Digital money can be transferred around the world very quickly because it is digital and tends to be safe and there are still some that are mined and some that have not been mined. In other words, when bitcoin is mined, the number of bitcoins available for the transaction will never increase or remain. Digital money, especially bitcoin, has properties like gold but is digital. Gold is fixed, some are mined, some have not been mined, and the amount of gold throughout the world, both mined and not, is fixed.

4.2. Price Oil in USD Threshold Autoregressive (TAR) Result

Based on the results of the R-squared calculation, 0.994844 identifies observations close to 99% reality. There were three periods of change in the USD currency: the 1971 Nixon shock period, where the USD depreciated continuously and began to strengthen in 1981 but never returned to its original internal exchange rate position.

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error t-Statistic	Prob.			
P_OIL_IN_USD(-3) < 11.63 16 obs						
C P_OIL_IN_GOLD	-3.987817 1.712948	1.494659-2.6680440.9296691.842535	0.0104 0.0716			
11.63 <= P_OIL_IN_USD(-3) < 37.73338 28 obs						
C P_OIL_IN_GOLD	-30.51872 12.84779	1.608853 -18.96924 0.588022 21.84916	0.0000 0.0000			
37.73338 <= P_OIL_IN_USD(-3) 11 obs						
C P_OIL_IN_GOLD	-85.47751 33.80458	4.518722 -18.91630 1.072923 31.50701	0.0000 0.0000			
Non-Threshold Variables						
P_GOLD_IN_USD	2.391700	0.076601 31.22292	0.0000			
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid	0.994844 0.994200 2.232890 239.3183	Mean dependent var S.D. dependent var Akaike info criterion Schwarz criterion	30.37181 29.31931 4.562884 4.818362			

Table 1 Price Oil in USD Threshold Autoregressive (TAR) Result

Source: Data Processed

4.3. Price Oil in Gold Threshold Autoregressive (TAR) Result

Table 2Price Oil in Gold Threshold Autoregressive (TAR)						
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.		
P_OIL_IN_GOLD(-3) < 1.886516 27 obs						
C P_OIL_IN_USD	1.176588 0.088413	0.096429 0.007612	12.20159 11.61475	0.0000 0.0000		
1.886516 <= P_OIL_IN_GOLD(-3) 28 obs						
C P_OIL_IN_USD	2.289057 0.041302	0.113902 0.003586	20.09672 11.51730	0.0000 0.0000		
Non-Threshold Variables						
P_GOLD_IN_USD	-0.088973	0.007353	-12.10019	0.0000		
R-squared Adjusted R-squared	0.841004 0.828284	Mean dependent var S.D. dependent var		1.940785 0.743834		
S.E. of regression Sum squared resid	0.308234 0.308234 4.750425	Akaike info criterion Schwarz criterion		0.743834 0.570596 0.753081		
Source: Data Processed						

Source: Data Processed

The estimation results of Price Oil in Gold Threshold Autoregressive (TAR) are presented in Table 2 Price Oil in Gold Threshold Autoregressive (TAR).

Table 4Price Oil in Bitcoin Threshold Autoregressive (TAR)VariableCoefficientStd. Errort-StatisticProb.						
PRICE_OIL_IN_BITCOIN(-3) < 0.05374508 46 obs						
C PRICE_OIL_IN_USD	-0.068809 0.002101	0.032209 0.000677	-2.136370 3.101226	0.0344 0.0023		
0.05374508 <= PRICE_OIL_IN_BITCOIN(-3) < 0.08476015 42 obs						
C PRICE_OIL_IN_USD	0.106544 -0.000769	0.012624 0.000278	8.439739 -2.763624	0.0000 0.0065		
0.08476015 <= PRICE_OIL_IN_BITCOIN(-3) < 0.1423734 22 obs						
C PRICE_OIL_IN_USD	0.077238 0.000333	0.019183 0.000460	4.026333 0.724419	0.0001 0.4700		
0.1423734 <= PRICE_OIL_IN_BITCOIN(-3) 42 obs						
C PRICE_OIL_IN_USD	-0.074726 0.005448	0.016526 0.000326	-4.521570 16.69401	$0.0000 \\ 0.0000$		
Non-Threshold Variables						
PRICE_BITCOIN_IN_ USD	-3.73E-06	6.26E-07	-5.959834	0.0000		
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression	0.971307 0.969702 0.012768			0.092855 0.073353 -5.826358		
Sum squared resid Log likelihood F-statistic Prob(F-statistic)	0.023312 451.8032 605.1084 0.000000	Schwarz crite Hannan-Quin Durbin-Wats	nn criter.	-5.647312 -5.753623 0.575916		

4.4. Price Oil in Bitcoin	Threshold Autoregressive	(TAR) Result
---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------

Source : Data Processed

The R squared of the estimation result is 0.971307, which shows that earthquakes identified 97%.

5. Conclusion

Gold is the most stable medium of exchange in the world and is resistant to inflation. The value of money shifted due to technological developments. Moreover, the form of money is

increasingly digital. However, the transformation of money towards digital is the lack of stability in the internal exchange rate of money.

References

- Ammous, S. (2018). Can cryptocurrencies fulfil the functions of money? *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 70, 38–51.
- Blakey, G.T. (2014). Hard Times and New Deal in Kentucky: 1929-1939. Kentucky: The University Press of Kentucky.
- Bonetto, F., & Iacopetta, M. (2019). A dynamic analysis of nash equilibria in search models with fiat money. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 84, 207–224.
- Caldentey, E. P. (2015). Money and generalized exchange: A critical look at Neo-Walrasian theory. *Investigacion Economica*, 74(293), 39–67.
- Chaturvedi, D.D., Mittal, A., & Puri, V. (2018). *Money and Banking*. New Delhi: Kitab Mahal Publishers.
- Chu, J., Zhang, Y., & Chan, S. (2019). The adaptive market hypothesis in the high frequency cryptocurrency market. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 64, 221–231.
- Daly, H E. (2014). From Uneconomic Growth to a Steady-State Economy. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Dukare, S., Pawar, H., Manchanda, V., Bansode, S., & Pathare, N. (2020). *Managing Your Business in 2020 Pandemic: Stay Home, Stay Rich.* Mumbai : Prizalo.
- Fink, M. P. (2019). *The Unlikely Reformer: Carter Glass and Financial Regulation*. Fairfax: George Mason University
- Flick, A. C. (2019). *Loyalism in New York During the American Revolution*. New York: New York State's Official Historian.
- Glasner, D. (2017). Rules versus discretion in monetary policy historically contemplated. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 54, 24–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmacro.2017.05.004
- Grewal,S. (2020). Struggling Amidst Plenty: A Layperson's Guide to Our Awful Monetary System,Why it's the Root Cause of Inequality, Debt,and "Too Big to Fail "& The Little Know Way to Fix it. New York : Subir Grewal.
- Hazlett, P. K., & Luther, W. J. (2020). Is bitcoin money? And what that means. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 77, 144–149.
- Heise, M. (2019) . Inflation Targeting and Financial Stability: Monetary Policy Challenges for the future. Cham : Springer.
- McMorrow-Hernandez, J. (2018). United States Mint in Philadelphia. Lezen: Arcadia Publishing
- Honohan, P. (2018). *Currency, Credit and Crisis: Central Banking in Ireland and Europe*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Horra, L. P., de la Fuente, G., & Perote, J. (2019). The drivers of Bitcoin demand: A short and long-run analysis. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 62, 21–34.
- Jain, T.R., Ohri, V.K. (2020). Introductory Macroeconomics. New Delhi: V.K. Global Publications.
- Johnston, D. (2015). *The Cambridge Companion to Roman Law*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Karimzadi, S. (2013). Money and Its Origins. Oxon : Routledge.
- Kristoufek, L. (2020). Bitcoin and its mining on the equilibrium path. *Energy Economics*, 85, 104588.
- Lowenstein, R. (2015). *America's Bank: The Epic Struggle to Create the Federal Reserve*. New York : Penguin Books.

- Lyócsa, Š., Molnár, P., Plíhal, T., & Širaňová, M. (2020). Impact of macroeconomic news, regulation and hacking exchange markets on the volatility of bitcoin. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 119, 1-21.
- Mitchell, W., Wray, L. R., Watts, M. (2019). *Macroeconomics*. New York : Macmillan International
- Monnet, E., Puy, D. (2020). Do old habits die hard? Central banks and the Bretton Woods gold puzzle. *Journal of International Economics*. 10(01).
- Morris, S., Oldroyd, J. (2020). International Business. Hoboken : John Wiley and Sons.
- Pagliery, J. (2014). Bitcoin: And the Future of Money.Illinois : Triumph Books.
- Prasad, E. (2017). *Gaining Currency: The Rise of the Renminbi*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pulikkottil, J.A. (2017). *Grey Matter Dialogues: A Journey on Economics and History of Science and Technology*. New Delhi: Partridge Publishing.
- Rabushka, A. (2015). Taxation in Colonial America. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Ramge, T., Schwochow, J. (2018). *The Global Economy as You've Never Seen It: 99 Ingenious Infographics That Put It All Together*. New York: The Experiment.
- Roberts, P. (2018). The Cold War: Interpreting Conflict through Primary Documents. California: ABC-CLIO
- Rostker, B. D. (2013). Providing for the Casualties of War: The American Experience Through World War II. Santa Monica: Rand Corporation.
- Serval, J., François, J., & Tranié, P. (2014). *The Monetary System: Analysis and New Approaches to Regulation*. West Sussex : John Wiley and Sons.
- Shaw, W. A. (2020). The History of Currency, 1252 to 1896. Frankfurt : GMbH
- Smith, E., & Shubik, M. (2011). Endogenizing the provision of money: Costs of commodity and fiat monies in relation to the value of trade. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 47(4–5), 508–530.
- Sumner, W.G. (2020). Folkways: Farankfurt.
- Vandezande, N. (2017). Virtual currencies under E.U. anti-money laundering law. *Computer Law and Security Review*, 33(3), 341–353.
- Verduyn, M. C. (2018). Bitcoin and Beyond: Cryptocurrencies, Blockchains, and Global Governance. Oxon : Routledge.
- Voutsa, M. E., & Borovas, G. (2015). The Operation of the Bretton Woods Institutions in the Modern Globalized Environment: Problems and Challenges. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 33(15), 168–180.
- Wang, L. (2020). Chinese Hinterland Capitalism and Shanxi Piaohao. Oxon : Routledge.
- Yang, B. (2019). Cowrie Shells and Cowrie Money: A Global History. Oxon : Routledge.
- Zharova, A., & Lloyd, I. (2018). An examination of the experience of cryptocurrency use in Russia. In search of better practice. *Computer Law and Security Review*, 34(6), 1300– 1313.